

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/004,377		10/22/2001	Kenneth J. Galipeau	14113.57.1.1	9767		
21912	7590	12/01/2004		EXAMINER LE, DIEU MINH T			
VAN PELT		LP BLVD #200					
CUPERTING			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•			2114			
				DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004	DATE MAIL ED: 12/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/004,377	GALIPEAU ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Dieu-Minh Le	2114					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	ecorrespondence ac	ddress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, openiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timelom the mailing date of this constant of the cons					
Status		•						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A	ugust 2004.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 31-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 31-40 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)[10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	, ,				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔲 Infon	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail		O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/004,377 Page 2

Art Unit: 2114

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed August 30, 2004 in application 10/004,377.

- 2. Claims 1-30 have been cancelled; claims 31-40 have been added.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 31-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable Funk (US Patent 5,793,497) in view of Nelson et al. (US Patent 5,928,367 hereafter referred to as Nelson).

As per claim 31:

Funk substantially teaches the invention. Funk teaches:

- a method for data protection [abstract, col. 5, lines 29-33 and col. 6, lines 1-11] comprising:
- intercepting change information representing a change made by a process running on a computer system to a file on the computer system [col. 1, lines 62 through col. 2, line

Art Unit: 2114

- 3, col. 2, lines 52-55, col. 3, lines 59-67, and col. 8, lines 50-53];
- transmitting the change information through a network [fig. 1, col. 2, lines 14-28].

Funk does not explicitly teach:

- the transmission is initiated by the computer system substantially concurrently with a time the change to the file occurs.

However, Funk does disclose capability of:

- a method and apparatus for delivering and modifying information electronically [abstract, col. 2, lines 14-27] comprising:
- a connectivity among memory, processor, end-user terminals (i.e., remote as well as local) via LAN and Internet (WAN) environment [fig. 1, col. 3, lines 35 through col. 4, lines 25];
- information exchanging and dynamically updating between source and destination (i.e., end user terminals) via a network in a real-time [col. 5 lines 43-51];
- information modification and editing [col. 6, line 64
 through col. 7, line 20];

Art Unit: 2114

- file generating, updating information to and from database, and transmitting data via a network [col. 2, lines 14-41].
- periodically updating the information in the information database and generating a file corresponding to the customer based on information in the customer record and the dynamically updated information [col. 2, lines 36-39]; means for responsive to the message from the
- predetermined customer for modifying a customer record
 responding to the predetermined customer [col.2, lines 3235];
- sending confirmation back to sender confirming change to database [fig. 6, lines 19-20].

In addition, Nelson explicitly teaches:

- A computer disk storage system having a real-time mirrored memory controllers for providing accurate and immediate failover reliability [abstract, col. 1, lines 11-14] comprising:
- an substantially concurrently data accessing, data retrieving, data mirroring in supporting the computer system failover detection and recovery among remote computer, local computer, and controllers via a network

[col. 2, line 65 through col. 3, line 5] as soon as failure occurred [col. 3, lines 50-54].

- a real-time data transferring in the mirrored memory process [col. 4, lines 34-39];
- extracting and copying data from multi-memory controllers via the mirror imaging [col. 13, lines 37-45].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made first, to realize the Funk's method and apparatus for delivering and modifying information electronically comprising information exchanging and dynamically updating between source and destination (i.e., end user terminals) via a network in a real-time and sending confirmation back to sender confirming change to database as being the transmission is initiated substantially concurrently with a time the change to the file occurs as claimed by Application. This is because the Funk does deal with a data file/information updating and exchanging between communication nodes (i.e., sources/destinations) or end user terminal in real-time process, the data/information should be updated in real-time including file modification, verification, confirmation (i.e., substantially

Art Unit: 2114

concurrently changes of data files) within the data security or protection environment, such as stock and bank data/information updating and exchanging security environment; second, by applying the substantially concurrently data accessing, data retrieving, data mirroring in supporting the computer system failover detection and recovery among remote computer, local computer, and controllers via a network capability as taught by Nelson in conjunction with the Funk's method and apparatus for delivering and modifying information electronically in ensuring data monitored, checked, detected (i.e., intercepted), corrected (i.e., copied, mirrored, etc...) in supporting data protection system.

This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to provide the computer data file/information transmission via a networking environment with a mechanism to enhance the data security, data performance, data availability, and data integrity in ordering to providing an optimal data/information protection and exchanging system. It is further obvious because by utilizing this approach, data files within the protected system can be realized in latest data/information

Art Unit: 2114

transmitted among end user communication, real-time data process, and data security protection and execution.

As per claims 32-34:

Funk further teaches:

- updating, translating, and storing data/information within the computer memory system [fig. 1 and 2, col. 3, lines 59-67].
- generating a file, updating a file, converting a file, transmitting a file via a network [col. 2, lines 20-28];
- intercepting change information representing a change made by a process running on a computer system to a file on the computer system [col. 1, lines 62 through col. 2, line 3, col. 2, lines 52-55, col. 3, lines 59-67, and col. 8, lines 50-53].

Funk does not explicitly teach:

- files to be mirrored.

However, Funk does disclose capability of:

- generating a file, updating a file, converting a file, transmitting a file via a network [col. 2, lines 20-28];
- information modification and editing [col. 6, line 64
 through col. 7, line 20];

Art Unit: 2114

- periodically updating the information in the information database and generating a file corresponding to the customer based on information in the customer record and the dynamically updated information [col. 2, lines 36-39].

In addition, Nelson further explicitly teaches:

- data mirroring in supporting the computer system failover detection and recovery [col. 2, line 65 through col. 3, line 5].
- a real-time data transferring in the mirrored memory process [col. 4, lines 34-39];
- extracting and copying data from multi-memory controllers via the mirror imaging [col. 13, lines 37-45].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the data mirroring in supporting the computer system failover detection and recovery capability as taught by Nelson in conjunction with the Funk's method and apparatus for delivering and modifying information electronically in supporting data protection system.

This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to enhance the computer data

Art Unit: 2114

file/information transmission via a networking environment. By performing the mirrored files (i.e., copying or duplicating) within the computer system, the system can function and operate without any interruption due to any system failures. This is because the computer system is fully backed up (i.e., data fully mirrored) and readily to perform with high fidelity data performance, data availability, and data integrity among end user communications including end user remotely.

As per claims 35-36:

Funk further teaches:

- a change is a write and a file operation (i.e., information modification and editing) [fig. 5, col. 5, lines 52-66 and col. 6, lines 64 through col. 7, lines 20].

As per claim 37:

Funk further teaches:

- the file is accessed by an application program [col. 3, lines 35-42 and col7, lines 21-26].

As per claim 38: .

Funk further teaches:

Art Unit: 2114

- the change information is transmitted to a second computer and to a remote computer (i.e., end users terminal data transmitted via a LAN and Internet (WAN) environment as well as information exchanging and dynamically updating between source and destination (i.e., end user terminals) via a network in a real-time) [fig. 1, col. 3, lines 59 through col. 4, lines 23].

As per claim 39:

Funk further teaches:

- user dial-up access for requesting information (i.e., information transmitted to a remote computer) [col. 1, lines 42-53].

In addition, Nelson further teaches:

- a multi-memory data controllers process [col. 13, lines
 37-46];
- an substantially concurrently data accessing, data retrieving, data mirroring in supporting the computer system failover detection and recovery among remote computer, local computer, and controllers via a network [col. 2, line 65 through col. 3, line 5] as soon as failure occurred [col. 3, lines 50-54].

Art Unit: 2114

- a real-time data transferring in the mirrored memory process [col. 4, lines 34-39].

As per claim 40:

Claim 40 similar to claim 31. The only minor different is that claim 40 additionally introduced a processor configured to intercept change information.

However, Funk does disclose capability of:

- a system for data protection [abstract, col. 5, lines 29-33 and col. 6, lines 1-11] comprising:
- a database coupled with the processor in supporting the data configuration, data exchanging, data updating, etc...

 [fig. 2, col. 4, lines 25-31].
- a connectivity among memory, processor, end-user terminals (i.e., remote as well as local) via LAN and Internet (WAN) environment [fig. 1, col. 3, lines 35 through col. 4, lines 25];

Therefore, this claim is also rejected under the same rationale applied against claim 31. In addition, all of the limitations have been noted in the rejection as per claim 31.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 31-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2114

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dieu-Minh Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-3660. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571)272-3645.

The Tech Center 2100 phone number is (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DML 11/24/04

PRIMARY EXAMINER